CHARITIES SORP ENGAGEMENT - EXPLORATION







Overview of the work so far

- Initial suggested agenda and questions to consider as a catalyst
- Each of the 7 panels took their own approach
- Convenor led, many have met several times with enthusiasm and commitment
- Consistent areas of focus but some different vantage points
- Some thoughts on whether accounting standards could be reformed to give the SORP more scope to better reflect charitable endeavour

Emerging areas of focus

Four areas of focus common across the strands

- Overall structure and presentation of the SORP
- The trustees' annual report
- The presentation of the financial statements
- Specific accounting issues

(See supporting paper for illustrative points of discussion)

A question of reform?

- Consideration of smaller charities and how the framework can be more flexible or simplified
- Fundamental approach of having a SORP based around topics works but sense checking whether the right ones are used
- Need to reform standards to better recognise the charity sector's reporting realities
- Need to revisit certain issues to check that the technical solution is the right one and/or whether to allow other options

The implications for accounting standards and charity/company law frameworks

Implications

- To achieve the flexibility required e.g. comparatives, cash-flows, disclosures – FRS100 and FRS102 need to permit the SORP to adapt, replace or remove 'for-profit' orientated presentations or disclosures
- In the absence of reduced regulatory prescription or harmonisation, the SORP will not cover all that is required

Reflections from each convenor:

- Smaller charities & independent examiners Chris Bolt
- Larger charities Tony Ward
- Professional and technical A Roberta Fusco
- Professional and technical B Christine Scott
- Charity trustees Louise Thomson
- Major donors and funders & government and public bodies – Sue Wilson
- Academics and regulators & proxies for public interest
 - Diarmuid McDonnell